arXiv:1611.09513v1 [math.RA] 29 Nov 2016

Nonnegative biquadratic forms with maximal number of zeros

Anita Buckley, Klemen Šivic
Faculty of mathematics and physics, Jadranska 19, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
e-mail addresses: anita.buckley@fmf.uni-lj.si, klemen.sivic@fmf.uni-lj.si

Abstract

We show that the maximum number of real zeros in $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ of a nonnegative biquadratic form that is not a sum of squares is 10. We obtain this result by constructing the first known examples of nonnegative biquadratic forms that have 10 real zeros in $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$.

1 Introduction

Let $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ denote the vector space of real $n \times n$ matrices and Sym_n its subspace consisting of symmetric matrices. A linear map $\Phi\colon M_n(\mathbb{R}) \to M_m(\mathbb{R})$ is called $*$-linear if it commutes with the transposition, i.e., if $\Phi(X^T) = \Phi(X)^T$ for each $X \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$. A $*$-linear map $\Phi\colon M_n(\mathbb{R}) \to M_m(\mathbb{R})$ or a linear map $\Phi\colon \mathrm{Sym}_n \to \mathrm{Sym}_m$ is called positive if it maps positive semidefinite matrices to positive semidefinite matrices. Note that a $*$-linear map on the full matrix space is positive if and only if its restriction to the subspace of symmetric matrices is positive. For the same reason each $*$-extension of a positive linear map $\Phi\colon \mathrm{Sym}_n \to \mathrm{Sym}_m$ is positive. For any positive integer k, a $*$-linear map $\Phi\colon M_n(\mathbb{R}) \to M_m(\mathbb{R})$ induces a $*$-linear map $\Phi_k\colon M_{kn} \to M_{km}$ defined by

$$\Phi_k([X_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^k) = [\Phi(X_{ij})]_{i,j=1}^k.$$

The linear map Φ is called k-positive if Φ_k is positive. Φ is called completely positive if it is k-positive for each positive integer k. Similarly, a linear map $\Phi\colon \mathrm{Sym}_n \to \mathrm{Sym}_m$ for each positive integer k induces a $*$-linear map $\Phi_k\colon M_k \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_n \to M_k \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_m$ where $\otimes$ denotes the Kronecker tensor product of matrices. The k-positive and completely positive maps are defined analogously to the above case.

Apart from their theoretical importance in functional analysis and the theory of operator algebras, the positive and completely positive maps are widely used in quantum physics and optimization. In the last decade completely positive maps gained a lot of attention due to their effective use in semidefinite programming. In optimization completely positive maps are often called sums of squares (SOS) for the reasons described below. For fixed m and n, the set of all positive maps and the set of completely positive maps are both convex cones in the Euclidean space of linear maps between the corresponding matrix spaces. While checking positivity of a linear map is NP-hard, semidefinite programming enables us to efficiently check whether the map is completely positive. It is therefore important to understand the gap between the two cones. It is known that there are significantly more positive maps than completely positive ones [7, 10, 17]. However, only few special families of positive maps that are not completely positive are known [4, 2, 6, 8, 11, 13, 14, 16, 18], most of them being derived from the Choi's map [4].

One possible approach to study the difference between the cone of positive maps and the cone of completely positive maps is by investigating possible configurations of the real zeros of the corresponding biquadratic forms. The correspondence is the following. The space of linear maps $\Phi\colon \mathrm{Sym}_n \to \mathrm{Sym}_m$ is isomorphic to the space of biquadratic forms in $n+m$ variables via the isomorphism

$$\Phi \mapsto p_\Phi(\mathtt{x},\mathtt{y}) = \mathtt{y}^T\Phi(\mathtt{x}\mathtt{x}^T)\mathtt{y}.$$

It follows from Choi's theorem [3] that Φ is positive if and only the polynomial p_Φ is nonnegative on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$, and Φ is completely positive if and only if p_Φ is a sum of squares of bilinear forms. The reader is reffered to [10, Proposition 3.1] for precise description of the correspondence. Investigating the difference between the convex cones of positive and completely positive maps is therefore the same as investigating the difference between the convex cones of nonnegative and SOS biquadratic forms.

Inspired by [5], Quarez [14] initiated the study of the number and possible configurations of real zeros of nonnegative biquadratic forms. Using the notation in [14], let $\mathcal{BQ}_{n,m}$ denote the space of biquadratic forms in $\mathtt{x} = (x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ and $\mathtt{y} = (y_1,\ldots,y_m)$. The real zeros of biquadratic forms lie in the product of real projective spaces $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{P}^{m-1}$. As any nonnegative biquadratic form in $\mathcal{BQ}_{2,m}$ or $\mathcal{BQ}_{n,2}$ is a sum of squares [9, 1, 5], we assume $n,m \geq 3$. For a form $p \in \mathcal{BQ}_{n,m}$, let $\mathcal{Z}(p) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{P}^{m-1}$ denote the set of its real zeros. Define positive integers

$$\begin{aligned} BB_{n,m} &= \sup|\mathcal{Z}(p)|, \text{ where } p \text{ ranges over all nonnegative polynomials in } \mathcal{BQ}_{n,m} \\ &\qquad \text{having only finitely many zeros;} \\ BB'_{n,m} &= \sup|\mathcal{Z}(p)|, \text{ where } p \text{ ranges over all sums of squares of bilinear forms} \\ &\qquad \text{having only finitely many zeros.} \end{aligned}$$

It was shown in [14] that $7 \leq BB_{3,3} \leq 10$ and $BB'_{3,3} = 6$. Moreover, each biquadratic form in $\mathcal{BQ}_{3,3}$ with 11 zeros has in fact infinitely many zeros and it can be written as a sum of squares. We complete these results by showing that $BB_{3,3} = 10$, so that we explicitly provide the first known examples of biquadratic forms from $\mathcal{BQ}_{3,3}$ that have exactly 10 real zeros.

2 Main result

The main result of this note is the construction of a family of positive linear maps $\Phi_t\colon \mathrm{Sym}_3 \to \mathrm{Sym}_3$ such that the associated biquadratic forms $p_t(\mathtt{x},\mathtt{y}) = \mathtt{y}^T\Phi_t(\mathtt{x}\mathtt{x}^T)\mathtt{y} \in \mathcal{BQ}_{3,3}$ have exactly 10 real zeros in $\mathbb{P}^2\times\mathbb{P}^2$. To our knowledge, these forms are the first known examples with the maximum number of 10 real zeros. This completes [14, Proposition 4.3] by showing that $BB_{3,3} = 10$ and adds to scarce examples of extremal nonnegative biquadratic forms that are not sums of squares.

Theorem 1. *For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ define the map $\Phi_t\colon \mathrm{Sym}_3 \to \mathrm{Sym}_3$ by*

$$\Phi_t\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix}\right) =$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} (t^2-1)^2a_{11}+a_{22}+t^4a_{33} & -(t^4-t^2+1)a_{12} & -(t^4-t^2+1)a_{13} \\ -(t^4-t^2+1)a_{12} & (t^2-1)^2a_{22}+a_{33}+t^4a_{11} & -(t^4-t^2+1)a_{23} \\ -(t^4-t^2+1)a_{13} & -(t^4-t^2+1)a_{23} & (t^2-1)^2a_{33}+a_{11}+t^4a_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then all the maps Φ_t are positive but not completely positive. Equivalently, the biquadratic forms $p_t(\mathtt{x},\mathtt{y}) = \mathtt{y}^T\Phi_t(\mathtt{x}\mathtt{x}^T)\mathtt{y}$ are nonnegative but not sums of squares of bilinear forms. Moreover, if $t \notin \{-1,0,1\}$, then

$$\mathcal{Z}(p_t) = \{[1,1,1;1,1,1],[1,1,-1;1,1,-1],[1,-1,1;1,-1,1],[-1,1,1;-1,1,1],$$

$$[1,t,0;t,1,0],[1,-t,0;-t,1,0],[0,1,t;0,t,1],[0,1,-t;0,-t,1],[t,0,1;1,0,t],[-t,0,1;1,0,-t]\}.$$

Proof. It is well known that the cone of all positive semidefinite matrices is generated by projections of rank 1. In order to prove the positivity of Φ_t it therefore suffices to show that the matrix $\Phi_t(\mathtt{x}\mathtt{x}^T)$ is positive semidefinite for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and each $\mathtt{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Let $\mathtt{x} = [x_1,x_2,x_3]^T$. Then

$$\Phi_t(\mathtt{x}\mathtt{x}^T) = \begin{bmatrix} (t^2-1)^2x_1^2+x_2^2+t^4x_3^2 & -(t^4-t^2+1)x_1x_2 & -(t^4-t^2+1)x_1x_3 \\ -(t^4-t^2+1)x_1x_2 & (t^2-1)^2x_2^2+x_3^2+t^4x_1^2 & -(t^4-t^2+1)x_2x_3 \\ -(t^4-t^2+1)x_1x_3 & -(t^4-t^2+1)x_2x_3 & (t^2-1)^2x_3^2+x_1^2+t^4x_2^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The trace of the matrix $\Phi_t(\mathtt{x}\mathtt{x}^T)$ equals to

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi_t(\mathtt{x}\mathtt{x}^\mathtt{T})) = 2(t^4-t^2+1)(x_1^2+x_2^2+x_3^2),$$

which is positive for each $0 \neq \mathtt{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Similarly, the sum of the main 2×2 subdeterminants of $\Phi_t(\mathtt{x}\mathtt{x}^T)$ equals to

$$(t^4-t^2+1)^2(x_1^2+x_2^2+x_3^2)^2,$$

which is again positive for all $\mathtt{x} \neq 0$. Finally, we compute

$$\det\Phi_t(\mathtt{x}\mathtt{x}^\mathtt{T}) = (t^2-1)^2\Big(t^4(x_1^6+x_2^6+x_3^6)+$$

$$+(t^8-2t^2)(x_1^4x_2^2+x_2^4x_3^2+x_3^4x_1^2)+(1-2t^6)(x_1^2x_2^4+x_2^2x_3^4+x_3^2x_1^4)-3(t^8-2t^6+t^4-2t^2+1)x_1^2x_2^2x_3^2\Big).$$

Clearly $\det\Phi_{\pm1}(\mathtt{x}\mathtt{x}^T)$ is zero, while for $t \neq \pm1$ the polynomial $\det\Phi_t(\mathtt{x}\mathtt{x}^T)$ is nonnegative by [15, Example 6.5]. Namely,

$$\frac{1}{(t^2-1)^2}\det\Phi_t(\mathtt{x}\mathtt{x}^T)$$

is exactly the generalised Robinson's polynomial S_t. This concludes the proof of positive semidefiniteness of the matrix $\Phi_t(\mathtt{x}\mathtt{x}^T)$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and each $\mathtt{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Therefore the map Φ_t is positive for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

For the proof of the last part of the theorem we first observe the following: since $\Phi_t(\mathtt{x}\mathtt{x}^T)$ is a positive semidefinite matrix, the equality $\mathtt{y}^T\Phi_t(\mathtt{x}\mathtt{x}^T)\mathtt{y} = 0$ implies that $\Phi_t(\mathtt{x}\mathtt{x}^T)\mathtt{y} = 0$. Existence of a nonzero vector $\mathtt{y} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $p_t(\mathtt{x},\mathtt{y}) = 0$ is therefore equivalent to non-invertibility of the matrix $\Phi_t(\mathtt{x}\mathtt{x}^T)$ and hence to $\det\Phi_t(\mathtt{x}\mathtt{x}^T) = 0$. Since $\det\Phi_t(\mathtt{x}\mathtt{x}^T)$ is a nonnegative polynomial, it attains its global minimum at each of its real zeros. Consequently all partial derivatives of $\det\Phi_t(\mathtt{x}\mathtt{x}^T)$ vanish at each real zero of this determinant. We obtain a system of 3 equations of degree 5 in variables x_1,x_2,x_3 and parameter t that we solved in `Mathematica`. For $t \neq \pm1$, the solutions in $\mathbb{P}^2$ are:

$$\{[1,1,1],[1,1,-1],[1,-1,1],[-1,1,1],[1,t,0],[1,-t,0],[0,1,t],[0,1,-t],[t,0,1],[-t,0,1]\}.$$

We proved that $(\mathtt{x},\mathtt{y}) \in \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ is a real zero of the polynomial p_t if and only if $\mathtt{x}$ belongs to the above set and $\mathtt{y}$ is in the kernel of the matrix $\Phi_t(\mathtt{x}\mathtt{x}^T)$. By computing the kernels of $\Phi_t(\mathtt{x}\mathtt{x}^T)$ for each $\mathtt{x}$ from the above set, we get all the real zeros of p_t described in the theorem.

Finally, each biquadratic form p_t with $t \notin \{-1,0,1\}$ has finitely many but more than 6 real zeros in $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$, therefore it cannot be written as a sum of squares of bilinear forms by [14, Theorem 3.2]. □

Corollary 2. $BB_{3,3} = 10$.

Proof. It was shown in [14, Proposition 4.3] that $BB_{3,3} \leq 10$. The biquadratic form in the above theorem has exactly 10 real zeros, which proves the equality $BB_{3,3} = 10$. □

Recall that an element x of a convex cone C is called extremal if the conditions $x = y + z$ and $y, z \in C$ imply $y = \lambda x$ and $z = \mu x$ for some $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$. We will show that the linear maps Φ_t constructed above are extremal in the cone of positive linear maps from Sym_3 to itself. The proof is based on the following result, which can easily be obtained by solving a system of linear equations.

Proposition 3. *If $t \notin \{-1,0,1\}$, then the only biquadratic forms that vanish at each point of the set*

$$\{[1,1,1;1,1,1],[1,1,-1;1,1,-1],[1,-1,1;1,-1,1],[-1,1,1;-1,1,1],$$

$$[1,t,0;t,1,0],[1,-t,0;-t,1,0],[0,1,t;0,t,1],[0,1,-t;0,-t,1],[t,0,1;1,0,t],[-t,0,1;1,0,-t]\}$$

at least to the second order, are the multiples of p_t.

Corollary 4. *If $t \notin \{-1,0,1\}$, then the map Φ_t is extremal in the cone of positive maps from Sym_3 to itself.*

Proof. It is clear that Φ_t is extremal in the cone of positive maps if and only if p_t is extremal in the cone of nonnegative biquadratic forms. Suppose now that $p_t = q + r$ for some nonnegative biquadratic forms $q, r \in \mathcal{BQ}_{3,3}$. Each real zero of p_t is then also zero of polynomials q and r, i.e., $\mathcal{Z}(p_t) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(q) \cap \mathcal{Z}(r)$. Moreover, the partial derivatives of q and r vanish at these points, since q and r are nonnegative. The previous proposition then implies that q and r are multiples of p_t, which proves the corollary. □

Remark. The maps Φ_t are positive multiples of the maps $\Phi[a,b,c]$ defined in [2], where we take $a = \frac{2t^4-3t^2+2}{t^4-t^2+1}$, $b = \frac{1}{t^4-t^2+1}$ and $c = \frac{t^4}{t^4-t^2+1}$. As a result the positivity of Φ_t also follows from [2, Theorem 2.1]. Indeed, it is easy to verify that $1 \leq a \leq 2$, $a+b+c = 3$ and $b\,c = (2-a)^2$, which implies the positivity of $\Phi[a,b,c] = \frac{1}{t^4-t^2+1}\Phi_t$.

Remark. We can consider $\Phi_t(\mathtt{x}\mathtt{x}^T)$ as a quadratic determinantal representation of the sextic $\det \Phi_t(\mathtt{x}\mathtt{x}^T) = 0$, see [14, Section 7] . Our theorem shows that the generalized Robinson's polynomials S_t defined in [15, Example 6.5] have positive semidefinite quadratic determinantal representations for $t \neq \pm 1$. Indeed, $S_t = \frac{1}{(t^2-1)^2} \det \Phi_t(\mathtt{x}\mathtt{x}^T)$. However it is still an open question whether there exists a positive semidefinite quadratic determinantal representation for the Robinson's polynomial

$$x^6 + y^6 + z^6 - x^4y^2 - x^4z^2 - y^4x^2 - y^4z^2 - z^4x^2 - z^4y^2 + 3x^2y^2z^2.$$

We believe that the specific configuration of zeros in the Robinson's polynomial is the main obstruction that the above sextic does not have a positive semidefinite quadratic determinantal representation.

Remark. Note that $|\mathcal{Z}(p_t)| \neq 10$ for $t \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. For $t = 0$, the associated biquadratic form has 7 real zeros. The example Φ_0 has already been studied in [14, Section 5.3.2]. On the other hand, for $t = \pm 1$, the polynomial p_t is constantly zero. Although the Robinson's polynomial is the limit of the polynomials S_t, the linear maps $\frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{(t^2-1)^2}}\Phi_t$ do not converge when $t \to \pm 1$.

References

[1] A. P. Calderón, *A note on biquadratic forms*, Linear Algebra Appl. 7 (1973), 175–177.

[2] S. J. Cho, S.-H. Kye, S. G. Lee, *Generalized Choi maps in three-dimensional matrix algebra*, Linear Algebra Appl. 171 (1992), 213–224.

[3] M.-D. Choi, *Completely positive linear maps on complex matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. 10 (1975), 285–290.

[4] M.-D. Choi, *Positive semidefinite biquadratic forms*, Linear Algebra Appl. 12 (1975), 95–100.

[5] M.-D. Choi, T.-Y. Lam, B. Reznick, *Real zeros of positive semidefinite forms I*, Math. Zeitschrift 171 (1980), 1–26.

[6] D. Chruściński, A. Kossakowski, *On the structure of entenglement witnesses and new class of positive indecomposable maps*, Open Systems and Information Dynamics 14 (2007), 275–294.

[7] A. A. Ergür, *Multihomogeneous nonnegative polynomials and sums of squares*, preprint, available at http://arxiv.org/pdf/1601.04623v2.pdf.

[8] K.-C. Ha, *A class of atomic positive linear maps in matrix algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl. 359 (2003), 277-290.

[9] V. A. Jakubovič, *Factorization of symmetric matrix polynomials*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSR 194 (1970), 532–535.

[10] I. Klep, S. McCullough, K. Šivic, A. Zalar, *There are many more positive maps than completely positive maps*, preprint, available at https://arxiv.org/pdf/1611.02838v1.pdf.

[11] X. Li, X. Fang, *Indecomposable positive linear maps constructed from permutations*, Linear Multilinear Algebra 64 (2016), 297–308.

[12] X. Li, W. Wu, *A class of generalized positive linear maps on matrix algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl. 439 (2013), 2844–2860.

[13] H. Osaka, *A series of absolutely indecomposable positive maps in matrix algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl. 186 (1993), 45–53.

[14] R. Quarez, *On the real zeros of positive semidefinite biquadratic forms*, Commun. Algebra 43 (2015), 1317–1353.

[15] B. Reznick, *On Hilbert's construction of positive polynomials*, preprint, available at https://arxiv.org/pdf/0707.2156v1.pdf.

[16] G. Sarbicki, D. Chruściński, *A class of exposed indecomposable positive maps*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 46 (2013) 015306.

[17] S. J. Szarek, E. Werner, K. Życzkowski, *Geometry of sets of quantum maps: a generic positive map acting on a high-dimensional system is not completely positive*, J. Math. Phys. 49 (2008), 032113.

[18] K. Tanahashi, J. Tomiyama, *Indecomposable positive maps in matrix algebras*, Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. 31 (1988), 308–317.